Ototoxic effects of industrial chemicals**

n-Hexane

A. Vyskocil^{1*}, G. Truchon², T. Leroux³, F. Lemay², M. Gendron³, F. Gagnon¹, S. Botez², N. El Majidi¹, S.Lim¹, C. Émond¹, C. Viau¹

Introduction

There is accumulating epidemiological evidence that exposure to some solvents, metals, asphyxiants and other substances in humans is associated with an increased risk of hearing loss. This project was undertaken to develop a toxicological database allowing the identification of possible ototoxic substances present in the work environment. Critical toxicological data were compiled for chemical substances included in the Quebec Occupational Health Regulation.

Methods

The data were evaluated only for realistic exposure concentrations up to the short-term exposure limit or ceiling value or five times the 8-h time weighted average exposure limit value(TWAEV) for human data and up to 100 times the 8-h TWAEV or ceiling value for animal studies.

Using a systematic weight of evidence approach, the information from both human and animal studies was examined.

At first, information from each source was given a weight of evidence qualifier for ototoxicity: strong, medium, weak, absent or "no study found". We took into consideration the following parameters: studied specie, number of subjects, exposure way, characteristics of control groups, exposure levels, audiometric and statistical tests, dose/effect relation. Table 1 shows how this information was combined to yield an overall assessment of the ototoxic potential of a given substance. Human data were generally given more weight in the overall assessment. When no human studies were available, which is different from the absence of evidence from the available human studies, the overall assessment was deemed the same as that from animal studies.

We built a weight of evidence table that allowed us to combine the information from both human and animal studies on ototoxicity of chemicals. Table 1 shows how the information from both types of studies were combined to yield an overall assessment and corollary conclusion about the ototoxicity of the investigated chemicals.

Human data were generally given more weight in the overall assessment. When no human studies were available, or when good quality human studies showed absence of evidence of an ototoxic effect, the overall assessment was one degree lower than that resulting from the animal studies. For example, a "strong" evidence from animal studies combined with an "absence" of evidence from the available human studies yielded a "medium" evidence overall.

Regarding the final conclusion about the ototoxic potential of chemical substances, all substances bearing a "strong evidence" of ototoxicity overall are considered "ototoxic". Those with "medium evidence" overall are rated "possibly ototoxic". We consider the ototoxic potential of those with only "weak evidence" as "non conclusive". Finally, those for which there is absence of evidence overall bear the mention "no evidence".

^{*} Corresponding author : adolf.vyskocil@UMontreal.CA

^{**} Production of this document was supported by the IRSST (Grant 099-542)

¹ Groupe de recherche interdisciplinaire en santé- Département de santé environnementale et santé au travail, Université de Montréal

² Institut de recherche Robert-Sauvé en santé et en sécurité du travail (IRSST)

³ École d'orthophonie et d'audiologie, Université de Montréal

Strength of evider	Strength of evidence about ototoxicity in assessed studies		
Human	Animal	Overall	about ototoxicity
S	S	S	0
S	М	S	0
S	W	S	0
S	А	S	0
S	Х	S	0
М	S	S	0
М	М	М	PO
М	W	М	PO
М	A	М	PO
М	X	М	PO
W	S	М	PO
W	М	W	NC
W	W	W	NC
W	A	W	NC
W	X	W	NC
A	S	М	PO
A	М	W	NC
A	W	W	NC
A	A	A	NE
A	X	A	NE
Х	S	M	PO
X	М	W	NC
X	W	W	NC
X	A	A	NE

Table 1. Weight of evidence approach for the assessment of ototoxicity of various industrial chemicals

Indication of ototoxicity:

S = strong; M = medium; W = weak; A = absent; X = no study found

General conclusion about ototoxicity:

O = ototoxic substance; PO = possibly ototoxic substance; NC = non conclusive; NE = no evidence

Abbreviations

TWAEV : 8 h time weighed average exposure [limit] value in Quebec

D-TWAEV : Calculated inhaled dose for pulmonary ventilation of 10 m³/d and body weight of 70 kg

Ceiling : Ceiling exposure [limit] value in Quebec

D-Ceiling : Calculated inhaled dose for pulmonary ventilation of 10 m³/d and body weight of 70 kg

STEV : Short term exposure [limit] value in Quebec

C/D reported : Reported concentration or reported dose

CSU/DSU : Reported concentration expressed in standard units of mg/m³ or reported dose expressed in standard units of mg/kg/d **Ratio** : For concentrations CSU/TWAEV or CSU/Ceiling and for doses DSU/ D-TWAEV or DSU/D-Ceiling

ASM : Air sampling method

BM : Biological monitoring results

n-Hexane

Occupational exposure limits: TWAEV: 176 mg/m³ (50 ppm)

Conclusion about ototoxicity

Possibly ototoxic substance

Strength of evidence From animal studies: **Strong** From human studies: **Weak** Overall: **Medium**

ANALYSIS OF ANIMAL STUDIES

Seven subacute and subchronic studies on rats of two different strains were identified. Five studies were performed in the same laboratory. A temporary ototoxic effect was suggested in young and adult rats using auditory brainstem responses test with a LOAEL of 500 ppm. However, no morphologic examination was performed.

ANALYSIS OF HUMAN STUDIES

Three studies on workers were identified. In two studies from the same laboratory (Chang 1987, Chang 1991), exposed subjects were workers with a polyneuropathy. The studies suggest an ototoxic effect of n-hexane (one of which suggests a permanent ototoxic effect), however exposure concentrations, noise levels, and duration of exposure were not reported. The third study (Huang 1989) on workers exposed for 5 - 30 years suggests an ototoxic effect of n-hexane, however workers were exposed to other solvents including benzene and C15-C19 hydrocarbons and exposure to noise was not reported.

CONCLUSION

Although certain effects were reported in workers, other human studies are necessary to come to a final decision. In the rat, exposure to n-hexane clearly affects the auditive function. We recommend, by taking account of the results of the human studies and the evidence brought by the animal studies, to consider n-hexane as a possibly ototoxic agent.

Chang 1987

n-Hexane [110-54-3]

n-Hexane • TWAEV : 50	0 ppm 176 mg/m³	D-TWAEV :	25 ng/kg/d	
Population				
Species :	Worker #	t : C = 25; E = 21 M + 1	F	Sex : Males and females
Age :	C = 32.8 years; E = 23.1 (17-34) year	5		
Exposure				
Route :	Inhalation			
Duration :	NR			
C/D reported :	NR			
CSU/DSU :				
Ratio :				
ASM :	NR			
BM :				
Remarks :	Exposed subjects were workers with a	polyneuropathy		
Tests				
Test type • Effects reported			Precisions on test • Remarks	
Auditory brainst	em responses		Clicks of 60 dB SL	
 No difference in III, III-V and I- 	wave I latency. The absolute wave III a V inter-peak latencies were prolonged	nd V latencies and the I-		

Action mechanism

Authors' conclusion

Lack of difference of wave I latency suggests that the auditory nerve itself was not severely affected. Prolongation of inter-peak latencies should be interpreted as neurotoxic effects of n-hexane on the brainstem

Our conclusion

Study suggests ototoxic effect of n-hexane, however exposure concentrations were not reported

Chang 1991

n-Hexane [110-54-3]

n-Hexane • TWAEV : 50	0 ppm 176 mg/m³	D-TWAEV :	25 mg/kg/d
Population			
Species :	Worker	# : C = 50; E = 11	Sex : Males
Age :	C = NR; E = 18 - 30 years		
Exposure			
Route :	Inhalation		
Duration :	NR		
C/D reported :	NR		
CSU/DSU :			
Ratio :			
ASM :	NR		
BM :			
Remarks :	Exposed subjects were workers with	a polyneuropathy	
Tests			
Test type • Effects reported			Precisions on test • Remarks
Auditory brainst	em responses		Clicks of 60 dB SL
 - No difference ii - Absolute wave were prolonged 	n wave I latency and in III-V inter-pea III and V latencies and the I-III and I	k latency I-V inter-peak latencies	• Subjects followed up for 4 years after the end of exposure
Action me	c h a n i s m		

Authors' conclusion

Little improvement in the auditory brainstem responses 4 years after cessation of exposure

Our conclusion

Study suggests a permanent ototoxic effect of n-hexane, however exposure concentrations were not reported

Howd 1983

n-Hexane [110-54-3]

L					
n-Hexane • TWAEV : 50	0 ppm 176 mg/m ³		D- TWAEV :	25 mg/kg/d	
Population					
Species :	Rat Fisher 344	#:	5		Sex : Males
Age :	E1 = 21 days; E2 = 80 days				
Exposure					
Route :	Inhalation				
Duration :	24 h/d; 6 d/w; 11 w				
C/D reported :	1000 ppm				
CSU/DSU :					
Ratio :	20				
ASM :					
BM :					
Remarks :					
Tests					
Test type • Effects reported				Precisions on test • Remarks	
Auditory brainst	em responses			Clicks of 40-50 dB SL	
 Increased later recovery during Increased later during recovery 	ncy of the first component in young ar recovery period ncy between the I and V components period in both groups	nd adı with s	ult rats. A complete some recovery	Test performed eacle exposure until fifth v	h week from the 4 week of week after the end of exposure
Action me	c h a n i s m				
Authors'	conclusion				
Comparable neur	otoxic effect at 1000 ppm in you	ng ar	nd old rats		

Our conclusion

Temporary ototoxic effect at 1000 ppm in young and old rats

Huang 1989

n-Hexane [110-54-3]

n-Hexane • TWAEV : 50	0 ppm 176 mg/m³	D- TWAEV :	25 ng/kg/d
Population	1		
Species :	Worker	# : C = NR; E = 5	Sex : Males
Age :	17 - 26 years		
Exposure			
Route :	Inhalation		
Duration :	5 - 30 months		
C/D reported :	55 ppm		
CSU/DSU :			
Ratio :	1.1		
ASM :	Gaz chromatography		
BM :			
Remarks :	Two one hour air samples collected. C subjects had polyneuropathy	ontrol data obtained form	normal male subjects 20 to 29 years old. All exposed
Tests			
Test type • Effects reported			Precisions on test • Remarks
Auditory brainst	em responses		Clicks of 60-70 dB SL

• No difference in wave I latency. The absolute wave III and V latencies and the I-III, III-V and I-V inter-peak latencies were prolonged

Action mechanism

Authors' conclusion

Lack of difference of wave I latency suggests that the auditory nerve itself was not severely affected. Prolongation of inter-peak latencies should be interpreted as neurotoxic effects of n-hexane on the brainstem

Our conclusion

Study suggests ototoxic effect of n-hexane, however workers were exposed to other solvents including benzene and C15-C19 hydrocarbons

Nylén 1994a

n-Hexane [110-54-3]

				_
n-Hexane • TWAEV : 50) ppm 176 mg/m³	D-TWAEV :	25 ng/kg/d	
Population				
Species :	Rat Sprague Dawley #	: 22	Sex : Males	
Age :				
Exposure				
Route :	Inhalation	_		
Duration :	21 h/d; 7 d/w; 28 d			
C/D reported :	1000 ppm			
CSU/DSU :				
Ratio :	20			
ASM :				
BM :				
Remarks :				
Tests				
Test type • Effects reported			Precisions on test • Remarks	
Auditory brainst	em responses		Clicks at 40 dB SL	
 - No effect on au - Prolonged later months after the 	ditory sensitivity. ncies 2 days after the end of exposure. R e end of exposure	eturn to normal 3	Test performed 2 days, 3 months and 12 month after the end of exposure	hs
Action me	chanism			
The site of these alt	erations cannot be determined from the	present data		
Authors' o	conclusion			

Temporary ototoxic effect at 1000 ppm in rats

Our conclusion

Temporary ototoxic effect at 1000 ppm in rats

Nylén 1994b

n-Hexane [110-54-3]

	-		
n-Hexane • TWAEV : 50	0 ppm 176 mg/m³	D-TWAEV :	25 mg/kg/d
Population			
Species :	Rat Sprague Dawley #	: 22	Sex : Males
Age :			
Exposure			
Route :	Inhalation		
Duration :	18 h/d; 7 d/w; 61 d		
C/D reported :	1000 ppm		
CSU/DSU :			
Ratio :	20		
ASM :			
BM :			
Remarks :			
Tests			
Test typeEffects reported			Precisions on test • Remarks
Auditory brainst	em responses		Clicks of 40 dB SL Frequencies 3,15, 6,3, 12,5, and 20,0 kHz
 - Slight loss of a of exposure - Return to norm 	uditory sensitivity and prolonged latencie nal 4 months after the end of exposure	s 2 days after the end	• Test performed 2 days, 4 months and 10 months after the end of exposure
Action me	c h a n i s m		
The site of these all	terations cannot be determined from the	present data	
Authors'	conclusion		

Temporary ototoxic effect at 1000 ppm in rats

Our conclusion

Temporary ototoxic effect at 1000 ppm in rats

Pryor 1983a

n-Hexane [110-54-3]

n-Hexane • TWAEV : 50	0 ppm 176 mg/m³	D- TWAEV	: 25 mg/kg/d
Population			
Species :	Rat Fisher 344	#: 11 - 12	Sex : Males
Age :	21 days		
Exposure			
Route :	Inhalation		
Duration :	14 h/d; 7 d/w; 14 w		
C/D reported :	2000 ppm		
CSU/DSU :			
Ratio :	40		
ASM :			
BM :			
Remarks :			
Tests			
Test type			Precisions on test
Effects reported			Remarks
Auditory brainst	em responses		Clicks of 60 dB SL
 - No effect on the - A decrease in texposure and the 	ne latencies. the amplitude of the fifth compon roughout the recovery period	ent by the tenth week of	• Test performed each week from the sixth week of exposure until 6 weeks after the end of exposure
Intensity discrim	nation		4 kHz
No effect			• Test performed 1, 4 and 6 weeks after the end of exposure
Action me	c h a n i s m		
Authors'	conclusion		

Neurotoxic effect at 2000 ppm in young rats

Our conclusion

Ototoxic effect at 2000 ppm in young rats

Pryor 1992

n-Hexane [110-54-3]

n-Hexane • TWAEV : 50	0 ppm 176 ng/m³		D- TWAEV :	25 mg/kg/d
Population				
Species :	Rat Fisher 344	#:8		Sex : Males
Age :	23 days			
Exposure				
Route :	Inhalation			
Duration :	14 h/d; 7 d/w; 9 w			
C/D reported :	4000 ppm			
CSU/DSU :				
Ratio :	80			
ASM :				
BM :				
Remarks :				
Tests				
Test type • Effects reported				Precisions on test • Remarks
Auditory brainst	em responses			Tone pips of 4, 8 and 16 kHz
Decreased ampli	itude at and above 65 dB at 16 kHz s	stimuli		• Test performed 2 weeks after the end of exposure
Multisensory cor	nditionned avoidance response t	task		at 4 kHz
No effect				Test performed 12 weeks after the end of exposure
Action me	c h a n i s m			
Authors'	conclusion			
Neurotoxic effect	at 4000 ppm in young rats			

Our conclusion

Probable ototoxic effect at 4000 ppm in young rats

Rebert 1982

n-Hexane [110-54-3]

n-Hexane • TWAEV : 50	0 ppm 176 mg/m³	D- TWAEV :	25 mg/kg/d
Population	I.		
Species : Age :	Rat Fisher 344 #	: C = 4; E = 6	Sex : Males
Exposure			
Route : Duration : C/D reported : CSU/DSU : Ratio : ASM : BM : Remarks :	Inhalation 24 h/d; 5 d/w; 11 w 1000 ppm 20	-	
Tests			
Test typeEffects reported			Precisions on test • Remarks
Auditory brainst	em responses		Clicks of 35, 45, and 65 dB SL
 Increased later component General decreat Latency return amplitude not 	ncy of the fifth component, with little effe ase in the amplitude of most components ed to normal within 5 weeks after termin	ct on the first ation of exposure, but	• Test performed before exposure, on the second day after the last exposure of each week and for 14 weeks after the end of exposure
Action me	c h a n i s m		
Authors'	conclusion		

Neurotoxic effect at 1000 ppm in rats

Our conclusion

Ototoxic effect at 1000 ppm in rats

Rebert 1983a

n-Hexane [110-54-3]

n-Hexane • TWAEV : 5	0 ppm 176 mg/m³	D- TWAEV :	25 mg/kg/d
Population	1		
Species :	Rat Fisher 344	#:5	Sex : Males
Age :	E1 = 21 days; E2 = 80 days		
Exposure			
Route :	Inhalation		
Duration :	24 h/d; 5 d/w; 11 w		
C/D reported :	500, 1000 and 1500 ppm		
CSU/DSU :			
Ratio :	10 - 30		
ASM :			
BM :			
Remarks :	Only the latencies of waves I and V	were measured	
Tests			
Test type • Effects reported			Precisions on test • Remarks
Auditory brainst	tem responses		Clicks of 40 dB SL
 Prolonged late hexane concent Reversible effe 	ncy of the fifth, but not the first comp ration ect	onent as a function of	• Test performed each week of exposure until 6 weeks after the end of exposure
Cortical auditory	v evoked potentials		Tone bursts at 9 kHz, 50 dB SL
 Prolonged late Effect reversib 	ncy of the P50 component as a function le	on of hexane concentration	Test performed each week of exposure until 6 weeks after the end of exposure
Action me	c h a n i s m		
Results indicates ar	n effect on central auditory tract cond	uction time	
Authors'	conclusion		

Temporary ototoxic effect at 500 ppm in rats

Our conclusion

Temporary ototoxic effect at 500 ppm in rats

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Chang 1987	Chang, Y.C. (1987) Neurotoxic effects of n-hexane on the human central nervous system: evoked potential abnormalities in n-hexane polyneuropathy. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 50(3): 269-74.
Chang 1991	Chang, Y.C. (1991) An electrophysiological follow up of patients with n-hexane polyneuropathy. Br J Ind Med. 48(1): 12-7.
Howd 1983	Howd, R.A., et al. (1983) A comparison of the rates of development of functional hexane neuropathy in weanling and young adult rats. Neurobehav Toxicol Teratol. 5(1): 63-8.
Huang 1989	Huang, C.C., et al. (1989) Evoked potentials in chronic n-hexane intoxication. Clin Electroencephalogr. 20(3): 162-8.
Nylén 1994a	Nylen, P., et al. (1994) Function of the auditory and visual systems, and of peripheral nerve, in rats after long-term combined exposure to n-hexane and methylated benzene derivatives. I. Toluene. Pharmacol Toxicol. 74(2): 116-23.
Nylén 1994b	Nylen, P., et al. (1994) Function of the auditory and visual systems, and of peripheral nerve, in rats after long-term combined exposure to n-hexane and methylated benzene derivatives. II. Xylene. Pharmacol Toxicol. 74(2): 124-9.
Pryor 1983a	Pryor, G.T., et al. (1983) Neurobehavioral effects of subchronic exposure of weanling rats to toluene or hexane. Neurobehav Toxicol Teratol. 5(1): 47-52.
Pryor 1992	Pryor, G.T., et al. (1992) Interactive effects of toluene and hexane on behavior and neurophysiologic responses in Fischer-344 rats. Neurotoxicol. 13(1): 225-34.
Rebert 1982	Rebert, C.S., et al. (1982) Effects of hexane on the brainstem auditory response and caudal nerve action potential. Neurobehav Toxicol Teratol. 4(1): 79-85.
Rebert 1983a	Rebert, C.S., et al. (1983) Concentration-related effects of hexane on evoked responses from brain and peripheral nerve of the rat. Neurobehav Toxicol Teratol. 5(1): 69-76.