Ototoxic effects of industrial chemicals**

Trichloroethylene

A. Vyskocil^{1*}, G. Truchon², T. Leroux³, F. Lemay², M. Gendron³, F. Gagnon¹, S. Botez², N. El Majidi¹, S.Lim¹, C. Émond¹, C. Viau¹

Introduction

There is accumulating epidemiological evidence that exposure to some solvents, metals, asphyxiants and other substances in humans is associated with an increased risk of hearing loss. This project was undertaken to develop a toxicological database allowing the identification of possible ototoxic substances present in the work environment. Critical toxicological data were compiled for chemical substances included in the Quebec Occupational Health Regulation.

Methods

The data were evaluated only for realistic exposure concentrations up to the short-term exposure limit or ceiling value or five times the 8-h time weighted average exposure limit value(TWAEV) for human data and up to 100 times the 8-h TWAEV or ceiling value for animal studies.

Using a systematic weight of evidence approach, the information from both human and animal studies was examined.

At first, information from each source was given a weight of evidence qualifier for ototoxicity: strong, medium, weak, absent or "no study found". We took into consideration the following parameters: studied specie, number of subjects, exposure way, characteristics of control groups, exposure levels, audiometric and statistical tests, dose/effect relation. Table 1 shows how this information was combined to yield an overall assessment of the ototoxic potential of a given substance. Human data were generally given more weight in the overall assessment. When no human studies were available, which is different from the absence of evidence from the available human studies, the overall assessment was deemed the same as that from animal studies.

We built a weight of evidence table that allowed us to combine the information from both human and animal studies on ototoxicity of chemicals. Table 1 shows how the information from both types of studies were combined to yield an overall assessment and corollary conclusion about the ototoxicity of the investigated chemicals.

Human data were generally given more weight in the overall assessment. When no human studies were available, or when good quality human studies showed absence of evidence of an ototoxic effect, the overall assessment was one degree lower than that resulting from the animal studies. For example, a "strong" evidence from animal studies combined with an "absence" of evidence from the available human studies yielded a "medium" evidence overall.

Regarding the final conclusion about the ototoxic potential of chemical substances, all substances bearing a "strong evidence" of ototoxicity overall are considered "ototoxic". Those with "medium evidence" overall are rated "possibly ototoxic". We consider the ototoxic potential of those with only "weak evidence" as "non conclusive". Finally, those for which there is absence of evidence overall bear the mention "no evidence".

^{*} Corresponding author : adolf.vyskocil@UMontreal.CA

^{**} Production of this document was supported by the IRSST (Grant 099-542)

¹ Groupe de recherche interdisciplinaire en santé- Département de santé environnementale et santé au travail, Université de Montréal

² Institut de recherche Robert-Sauvé en santé et en sécurité du travail (IRSST)

³ École d'orthophonie et d'audiologie, Université de Montréal

ength of eviden	ce about ototoxicity i	in assessed studies	Conclusion
Human	Animal	Overall	about ototoxicity
S	S	S	0
S	М	S	0
S	W	S	0
S	А	S	0
S	Х	S	0
Μ	S	S	0
М	М	М	PO
М	W	М	PO
М	A	М	PO
М	Х	М	PO
W	S	М	PO
W	М	W	NC
W	W	W	NC
W	A	W	NC
W	Х	W	NC
А	S	М	PO
А	М	W	NC
А	W	W	NC
А	A	A	NE
А	Х	A	NE
Х	S	М	PO
Х	М	W	NC
Х	W	W	NC
Х	A	A	NE

Table 1. Weight of evidence approach for the assessment of ototoxicity of various industrial chemicals

Indication of ototoxicity:

S = strong; M = medium; W = weak; A = absent; X = no study found

General conclusion about ototoxicity:

O = ototoxic substance; PO = possibly ototoxic substance; NC = non conclusive; NE = no evidence

Abbreviations

TWAEV : 8 h time weighed average exposure [limit] value in Quebec

D-TWAEV : Calculated inhaled dose for pulmonary ventilation of 10 m³/d and body weight of 70 kg

Ceiling : Ceiling exposure [limit] value in Quebec

D-Ceiling : Calculated inhaled dose for pulmonary ventilation of 10 m³/d and body weight of 70 kg

STEV : Short term exposure [limit] value in Quebec

C/D reported : Reported concentration or reported dose

CSU/DSU : Reported concentration expressed in standard units of mg/m³ or reported dose expressed in standard units of mg/kg/d **Ratio** : For concentrations CSU/TWAEV or CSU/Ceiling and for doses DSU/ D-TWAEV or DSU/D-Ceiling

ASM : Air sampling method

BM : Biological monitoring results

Trichloroethylene

Occupational exposure limits: TWAEV: 269 mg/m3 (50 ppm). STEV: 1070 mg/m3 (200 ppm)

Conclusion about ototoxicity

Ototoxic substance

Strength of evidence From animal studies: **Strong** From human studies: **Medium** Overall: **Strong**

ANALYSIS OF ANIMAL STUDIES

There are 7 studies demonstrating that trichloroethylene by inhalation is ototoxic in rats. Permanent hearing loss has been found to be restricted to the mid- to high-frequencies (4 to 20 kHz). The greatest reduction in hearing was observed at 16 kHz. The ototoxicity appears to be a high-concentration effect in rats as shown by auditory brain responses measurements or reflex modification audiometry. After 13-weeks exposure, the LOAEL for ototoxicity was 2400 ppm (Crofton 1997). Morphologic examination demonstrated that trichloroethylene damaged spiral ganglions in the cochleae of rats (Fechter 1998).

ANALYSIS OF HUMAN STUDIES

Hearing losses were reported in workers in association with exposure to trichloroethylene in case studies (Gist 1995). In a study of 40 exposed workers (Szulc-Kuberska 1976), 26 had bilateral sensorineural hearing loss. Workers with a long-term occupational exposure to solvents, including trichloroethylene, were reported to have abnormally distorted speech audiometry results (Odkvist 1987). This suggests a damage to the central auditory system which cannot be attributed to noise. However, the exposure concentrations and noise levels were not exactly reported, in all these studies.

CONCLUSION

Although certain effects were reported in workers, other human studies are necessary to come to a final decision. In the rat, trichloroethylene clearly affects the auditive function mainly in the range of mid frequencies of the cochlea. We recommend, by taking account of the results of the human studies and the evidence provided by the animal studies, to regard trichloroethylene as an ototoxic agent.

pulation			
Species :	Rat Fisher 344	# : NR	Sex : Males
Age :			
xposure			
Route :	Inhalation		
Duration :	6 h/d; 5 d/w; 13 w		
C/D reported : CSU/DSU :	250, 800 and 2500 ppm		
Ratio :	5 - 50		
ASM :			
BM :			
Remarks :			
ests			
ly a summary of	experience available		
est type Effects reported			Precisions on test • Remarks
uditory brainst	em responses		Tone pips of 4, 8, 16 and 30 kHz
	erved only at 2500 ppm. Hearing dB at 16 kHz and 8 dB at 30 kHz	threshold elevated by 4 dB	at
ight microscopy	1		
Focal loss of coo	chlear hair cells observed in the u	pper basal turn	
ction me	c h a n i s m		
uthors '	conclusion		
	m for ototovic offect in rate		

NOAEL of 800 ppm for ototoxic effect in rats

Our conclusion

NOAEL of 800 ppm for ototoxic effect in rats

richloroet TWAEV : 5		D- TWAEV	: 38 mg/kg/d
Population	1		
Species :	Rat Long Evans	#: 8-10	Sex : Males
Age :	60 days		
Exposure			
Route :	Inhalation		
Duration :	6 h/d; 5 d		
C/D reported :	1000, 2000 and 4000 ppm		
CSU/DSU :			
Ratio :	20 - 80		
ASM :			
BM :			
Remarks :			
Tests			
Test type • Effects reported			Precisions on test • Remarks
Reflex modificat	ion audiometry		at 4, 8, 16, 24, 32 and 40 kHz
exposure to 400	; (22, 30 and 13 dB) at 8, 16 a 10 ppm. sposure to 1000 or 2000 ppm	and 24 kHz tones following	• Test performed 3 weeks after the end of exposur to 1000, 2000 and 4000 ppm
Reflex modificat	ion audiometry		at 0.5 - 40 kHz
weeks post-exp		posure and persisted up to 12 at 8 and 16 kHz	 Test performed prior to, 1 hour following each o 5 exposure days to 4000 ppm and 5 days, 1, 2, 4 8 and 12 weeks post-exposure for frequency of 1 kHz. At 14 weeks, tests performed for 0.5, 1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 24, 32 and 40 kHz

Action mechanism

Authors' conclusion

Persistent mid-frequency hearing loss at 4000 ppm in rats

Our conclusion

NOAEL of 2000 ppm for ototoxic effect in rats and ototoxic effect observed at 4000 ppm

opulation				
Species :	Rat Long Evans	#:7	8	Sex : Males
Age :	60 days			
xposure				
Route :	Inhalation			
Duration :	8 h/d; 5 d			
C/D reported :	3500 ppm			
CSU/DSU :				
Ratio :	70			
ASM :				
BM :				
Remarks :				
ests				
e st type Effects reported				Precisions on test • Remarks
eflex modificat	ion audiometry			at 0.5 - 40 kHz
Hearing loss for	8 and 16 kHz			Test performed 5 to 8 weeks after the end of exposure
ction me	c h a n i s m			

Mid-frequency hearing loss at 3500 ppm in rats

Our conclusion

Ototoxic effect at 3500 ppm in rats

ichloroet TWAEV : 5	0°ppm 269 mg/m³	D- TWAEV	: 38 mg/kg/d
opulation			
Species :	Rat Long Evans	#:8-10	Sex : Males
Age :	60 days		
xposure			
Route :	Inhalation		
Duration :	6 h/d; 5 d/w; 13 w		
C/D reported :	800, 1600, 2400 and 3200 ppm		
CSU/DSU :			
Ratio :	16 - 64		
ASM :			
BM :			
Remarks :			
ests			
est type Effects reported			Precisions on test • Remarks
eflex modificat	ion audiometry		at 16 kHz
respectively.	of 21 and 35 dB following exposu posure to 800 or 1600 ppm	re to 2400 and 3200 ppm,	Test performed 3 to 5 weeks after the end of exposure
ction me	c h a n i s m		
uthors '	conclusion		

Our conclusion

LOAEL of 2400 ppm for ototoxic effect in rats

Frichloroet • TWAEV : 50	hylene) ppm 269	mg∕m³	D- TWAEV :	38 mg/kg/d
Population				
Species :	Rat Long Evans	#	: 3 - 10	Sex : Males
Age :	60 days			
Exposure				
Route :	Inhalation			
Duration :	6 h/d; 5 d			
C/D reported :	4000 ppm			
CSU/DSU :				
Ratio :	80			
ASM :				
BM :				
Remarks :				
Tests				
• Effects reported				Precisions on test • Remarks
Reflex modificati	on audiometry			at 1, 4, 8, 16, 24, 32 and 40 kHz
Hearing loss (25	dB) for 8 and 16 H	Iz tones		• Test performed 3 weeks after the end of exposure
Electrocochleogr	aphy			at 2, 4, 8, 16, 32 and 40 kHz
- Reduction of w		(20 dB) at 8 and 16 kH 16 kHz from 50 to 90 c affected		Test performed 5 to 7 weeks after the end of exposure
Light microscopy	,			Cochleogram
Loss of spiral ga cochlea	nglion cells in the r	niddle turn, but not in t	he basal turn of the	Histology performed 11 weeks after the end of exposure
Action me	chanism			

Data suggest that the loss in auditory function can be accounted for by cochlear impairment and that the spiral ganglion cell may be a prominent target of trichloroethylene

Authors' conclusion

Functional and structural damage to the cochlea at 4000 ppm in rats

Our conclusion

Ototoxic effect at 4000 ppm in rats

richloroet TWAEV : 50	0 ppm 269 mg/m ³	D- TWAEV	: 38 mg/kg/d
Population	1		
Species :	Rat Wistar	#: 12	Sex: Not reported
Age :	11 weeks		
Exposure			
Route :	Inhalation		
Duration :	18 h/d; 5 d/w; 3 w		
C/D reported :	1500 and 3000 ppm		
CSU/DSU :			
Ratio :	30 - 60		
ASM :			
BM :			
Remarks :			
Tests			
Fest type Effects reported			Precisions on test • Remarks
Reflex modificat	ion audiometry		Tone pips at 5 and 20 or 5 and 35 kHz
3000 ppm. This	olds for 20 kHz but not 5 or 35 kH effect persisted unchanged throug rved at 1500 ppm		
Action me	c h a n i s m		

Ototoxic effect at 3000 ppm in rats

Our conclusion

LOAEL at 3000 ppm for ototoxic effect in rats

ichloroet TWAEV : 50	hylene Dppm 269 mg/m³	D- TWAE	V: 38 mg/kg/d
opulation			
Species :	Rat Long Evans	#:6-10	Sex : Males
Age :	100 days		
xposure			
Route :	Inhalation		
Duration :	12 h/d; 12 w		
C/D reported :	1600 and 3200 ppm		
CSU/DSU :			
Ratio :	32 - 64		
ASM :			
BM:			
Remarks :			
ests			
e st type Effects reported			Precisions on test • Remarks
uditory brainst	em responses		Clicks of 60 dB at 400 Hz to 6 kHz Tone pips of 4, 8 and 16 kHz
rats exposed to - Increased later	olitude at 4-16 kHz through the th 3200 ppm ncy of component 5 and the 3-5 a sure to 3200 ppm		exposure and 1 and 3 weeks after the end of
ction me	c h a n i s m		
uthors '	conclusion		
adominantly bi	gh-frequency hearing loss		

Our conclusion

Ototoxic effect at 3200 ppm in rats

opulation	1		
Species :	Rat Fisher 344	#:4-10	Sex : Males
Age :	100 days		
xposure			
Route :	Inhalation		
Duration :	12 h/d; 3 w		
C/D reported :	2000 and 3200 ppm		
CSU/DSU :			
Ratio :	32 - 64		
ASM :			
BM:			
Remarks :			
ests			
est type Effects reported			Precisions on test • Remarks
uditory brainst	em responses		Clicks of 60 dB Tone pips of 4, 8 and 16 kHz
Decreased ampl	itude at 4-16 kHz in rats expos	ed to 2000 and 3200 ppm	• Test performed 1 week after the end of exposu

Authors' conclusion

Predominantly high-frequency hearing loss

Our conclusion

Ototoxic effect at 2000 ppm in rats

Trichloroet • TWAEV : 5	hylene 0 ppm 269 mg/m³		D- TWAEV :	: 38 mg/kg/d
Population	1	_		
Species :	Rat Long Evans	#:	Ð	Sex : Males
Age :	60 days			
Exposure				
Route :	Inhalation			
Duration :	18 h/d; 5 d			
C/D reported :	3000 ppm			
CSU/DSU :				
Ratio :	60			
ASM :				
BM :				
Remarks :				
Tests				
Test typeEffects reported				Precisions on test • Remarks
Auditory brainst	tem responses			Tone pips of 16 kHz
 Decreased am Increased later 	plitude. ncy of component P1			Test performed 10 days after the end of exposur
Action me	chanism			
Authors'	conclusion	_		
Predominantly hi	gh-frequency hearing loss			

Our conclusion

Ototoxic effect at 3000 ppm in rats

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Albee 1994	Albee, R.R., et al. (1994) 13-Week trichloroethylene (TCE) vapor exposure of rats caused ototoxicity byt no effects on the trigneminal nerve. Toxicologist. 14: 351 (abstract 1364).
Crofton 1993	Crofton, K.M., et al. (1993) Mid-frequency hearing loss in rats following inhalation exposure to trichloroethylene: evidence from reflex modification audiometry. Neurotoxicol Teratol. 15(6): 413-23.
Crofton 1994	Crofton, K.M., et al. (1994) Solvent-induced ototoxicity in rats: an atypical selective mid-frequency hearing deficit. Hear Res. 80(1): 25-30.
Crofton 1997	Crofton, K.M., et al. (1997) The ototoxicity of trichloroethylene: extrapolation and relevance of high-concentration, short-duration animal exposure data. Fundam Appl Toxicol. 38(1): 101-6.
Fechter 1998	Fechter, L.D., et al. (1998) Trichloroethylene ototoxicity: evidence for a cochlear origin. Toxicol Sci. 42(1): 28-35.
Gist 1995	Gist, G. L. and J. R. Burg (1995). Trichloroethylenea review of the literature from a health effects perspective. Toxicol Ind Health 11(3): 253-307.
Jaspers 1993	Jaspers, R.M., et al. (1993) Mid-frequency hearing loss and reduction of acoustic startle responding in rats following trichloroethylene exposure. Neurotoxicol Teratol. 15(6): 407-12.
Odkvist 1987	Odkvist, L. M., S. D. Arlinger, et al. (1987). Audiological and vestibulo-oculomotor findings in workers exposed to solvents and jet fuel. Scand Audiol 16(2): 75-81.
Rebert 1991	Rebert, C.S., et al. (1991) Sensory-evoked potentials in rats chronically exposed to trichloroethylene: predominant auditory dysfunction. Neurotoxicol Teratol. 13(1): 83-90.
Rebert 1993	Rebert, C.S., et al. (1993) Combined effects of solvents on the rat's auditory system: styrene and trichloroethylene. Int J Psychophysiol. 14(1): 49-59.
Szulc-Kuberska 1976	Szulc-Kuberska, J., J. Tronczynska, et al. (1976). Oto-neurological investigation of chronic trichlorethylene poisoning. Minerva Otorinolaringol. 26: 108-112.