Template to report from ENES3 break-out sessions

Break-out session	Case study 2 (CEPE)
Moderator	Jouni Raisen (FI)
Rapporteur	Mercedes Viñas (Cefic)

Some points discussed

- Clarification needed on how to handle consolidated ES for non-hazardous mixtures
- The presented back-calculation principle is helpful for distributors where there are many products handled
- The approach includes recommendation of PPE for all spraying applications regardless of the substances. This is not due to toxicity profile but due to aerosol formation. Is this in line with RMM hierarchy?
- The development of this approach was led by customers' needs for simplified output and need to include in IT systems

Applicability of the presented approach

· Boundaries/limitations

- Based on DNELs: are they correctly derived? Does it lead to overconservative measures?
- How are national limit values (OELs) considered
- May need translations of supplier's input if not provided in an ECETOC input format
- Non-threshold substances? Irritants? Need separate assessment
- Based on average conditions -> adaptations/scaling by DUs needed
- Applicable for industrial settings (confined systems)

Pre-conditions to be applicable:

- Starting point is set of OCs/RMMs: needs sector use mappings
- It should be possible to calculate back using appropriate tool
- Needs a consistency check with information received from suppliers

Applicability of the presented approach (cont.)

Comments on the proposed output

- Main question was whether the output can be included in main body of SDS or is it always an annex?
- If outcome can be 'condensed', it is easier to integrate, but summarising the information may limit flexibility for DUs
- Typical output is around 4 pages, length mostly due to Scaling instructions

Potential improvements

- Implications of switch to CLP for selection of leading substances
- Can there be different (simpler) ways to communicate scaling?

Applicability of the presented approach (cont.)

• Can its principles be applied to the environment?

Not discussed

Points identified for further work

Proposed follow-up action	Who should take the lead
Sector associations to provide sets of typical OC/RMM for their processes	DU associations
How to tackle situations when received ES relate to previous ECETOC version	? ENES?
Alignment of output format among suppliers	DU association
Implications of the switch to CLP in the identification of LS	Industry association